

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,662	09/19/2003	Kendra Gallup	10030807-1	8572
7590 10/15/2004			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
Legal Departm				
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2815	
Loveland, CO	80537-0599			

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ar			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/665,662	GALLUP ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joseph Nguyen	2815				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	s			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun	nication.			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> □	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	. ,					
5)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.		·			
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	** :				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	ge			
Attachmer			0.000				
2) Notion 1	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date r Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	?)			

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a structure, classified in class 257, subclass 100.
- II. Claims 10-15, drawn to a method of packaging a structure, classified in class 438, subclass +1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatenability of Group II invention would not necessarily imply unpatenability of Group I invention, since the process of Group II invention could be used to make a product materially different from that of Group II Invention. For example, in the method claim 10, the cap includes a spacer having a hole therethrough, a plate that is transparent to the optical signal, which is not recited in the device claim 1.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group II is not required for Group I and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,662 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5: 00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-308-7382 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

JN October 13, 2004

> JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER